IDENTITY, PUBLIC POLICY AND POLITICS IN THE UNITED STATES: 
THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF ROLE THAT IDENTITY PLAYS

It must be odd 
to be a minority
He was saying.
I looked around
and didn’t see any.
So I said
Yeah
it must be
Mitsuye Yamada Camp Notes

Professor David M. Elcott
Office hours by appointment
Office 212-992-9894
Mobile 914-391-7503
David.elcott@nyu.edu

Room: Bobst Library LC139

The 2016 election brought to the fore a battle over rights and public policies that affect social identities – race, ethnicity, religion, gender, sexual identity, place of origin – as perhaps never before. In fact, they were debated with raging rhetorical violence. In choosing, voters seemed to clarify their views of what (for them) American really is, who we are (or should be) as a nation, culture and society. It seemed at the core a conflict over who really is an American, who belongs and how the answer would make this country great. It seems that so many feel somehow disenfranchised, as if their place in America is in jeopardy. At this point, we have no idea where this will lead, but battle lines have been drawn and the anger is festering, as if waiting to explode.

In this course, we will focus on the ways we have been socialized into American society, seeking to understand the privileges that accrued from one’s status and the policies that have resulted based on identity over the past centuries. And we will look at a range of identities that challenge the “all-American” standard. We will explore issues of social identity of a number of groups that have been identified as “minority” (leaving the term minority itself in question) within America’s cultural and political framework, examining how the debate over rights informs policy decisions and shapes identity and institutions. We will apply a range of theoretical constructs, seeking to define what “minority” status entails by studying how ethnicity, race, gender, sexual identity, national origin and religious identities, and their cultural expressions, play out in the public sphere. And we will, of course, be forced to explore the advocacy efforts that seek to address what America should be.

COURSE OBJECTIVES:
• Begin to grapple with definitions – minority, majority, group - in the United States: when did the concept of “minority” emerge in the United States, how are individual identities and groups identified and given meaning, what are the internal and external aspects of such identities? Can we even use the term minority in the United States today?
• Examine the essential concepts of power and privilege (and the marked and unmarked positions) – what it is, how it is used and how groups and communities expand and strengthen their political power. We also will consider the ways that addressing group identity status affects power in America.
• We will explore in what ways identity is personal, communal, self-determined and/or imposed and the intersections of identity in America today.
• We will study how the status of those identified as minorities has changed over the history of America with a focus on the ways law and the criminal justice system enforce identity stereotypes.
• Sessions will address the impact of education, housing, workplace, voting and religious policies on the lives and status of Americans based on their identities.
• We will ask what roles should and do governments – federal, state and local - play in determining individual and group status?
• We also will consider whether the state has an interest in strengthening self-identified minority communities and what is necessary to nurture such communities today.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS INCLUDE:
1. Careful preparation for and serious involvement in all seminar sessions. This means reading the materials and thinking about the topic before the session. Try your best to cover all the readings so that in class, you will be citing from the works that I assigned. In your reading, you are asked to:
   o Question the significance of the topic and the analyses you read – is the methodology solid; does the analysis comport with the results?
   o Search for what biases (and there are always biases) affect the choices of subject, data and analysis.
   o Check yourself out: In what ways do the evidence and analyses conform to your own experience and assessments, and in what ways do they challenge them?
   o Consider what institutional and organizational implications can be drawn from the readings, and what types of leadership responses would be most productive.
   o Think about what you learn as a leader and manager, policy analyst and advocate for policy change.
2. Class participation is crucial and the quality and thoughtfulness of your involvement will be reflected in your final grade. If speaking in public is difficult for you, please come to see me early on.
3. Assume leadership in class activities (alone or as part of a team) – there will be many opportunities for you to lead portions of class sessions.

4. Students will choose readings, quotes and/or something said by one of the speakers from four sessions of the course that seems powerful, meaningful and/or problematic, and then write up to a two page single space analysis after each of those days. Whatever your focus, you are expected to reference the readings as well as any discussions we have had, the outside world you observe and/or your own personal experiences. This analysis, while reflecting your rigorous and careful reading, allows you to explore what excites you intellectually and how you imagine applying what you read to professional areas that interest you. Make sure you provide direct citations from the readings or the lectures. Length is not what matters most – a thoughtful, integrated and coherent analysis or critique is what we want to see. These four one-pagers must be submitted on NYU Classes under assignments as follows:
   - Anytime up to the week after the fourth session
   - Anytime up to a week after the 5th, 6th or 7th sessions
   - Anytime up to a week after the 8th, 9th, 10th or 11th sessions

5. There will be a midterm after the sixth session. It will consist of five questions about the work we have done so far. You will be able to choose two of the questions and write your exam at home. It will be due before the seventh session. The exam should be no more than ten pages double-spaced. You will be expected to use the materials we have covered up to that point in the course, your own experience and any additional references you choose to bring in to your responses. Please do not skimp on footnoting the readings we have used.

6. You will have a final project that you will prepare in concert with other students. You will choose one of the issues that study in the course and prepare a class presentation on the most effective ways you have determined to address this issue on a national, state, local and/or institutional level. **You will present a one-paragraph description of what you plan to investigate by the fourth session and schedule to meet with me before that time to figure out what you want to do.** The final presentation can be up to fifteen minutes and creativity in the form and content of presentation will be valued. A written form of your team presentation at whatever length your team feels is necessary to convincingly make your points will be due one week after your presentation, giving you time to reflect on the responses to your presentation by me and by the class. The written presentation can be in the form of a power point, a final reflective essay that examines your issue, or a formal paper no more than 10 double-spaced pages. Whatever form you choose, it must have robust appendices and footnotes.

7. A final personal reflection that includes the issues the course raised for you, the questions, discomforts and challenges that remain and how you imagine the issues of identity could/should be better addressed in American policy and culture. While you certainly should add any resource materials we have used throughout the course, this is mean to be a personal response – from you even as
you may (perhaps should) quote or cite others. No length is stipulated, but I would encourage you (for your own sakes) to not write more than five double-spaced pages.

8. Your grade will reflect all these elements:
   - your three one page analyses of readings reflections 30% (10 points each)
   - your midterm exam 25%
   - your final class team presentation and team paper 30%
   - a final personal reflection 15%

At any time, you are welcome to discuss your progress with me for both guidance and confidence in how you are doing.

**Readings and NYUClasses:**

1. Much of the reading, many announcements, class-related documents, and other useful class information will be posted on NYU Classes and on the “Forum” so make sure to check that out regularly.
2. Also, check your NYU email regularly for any other announcements.
3. You will need Adobe Acrobat Reader in order to view some of the materials. Make sure that you have it installed.
4. Downloads of articles have been provide in most cases. Please make sure, however, that you know how to find an article by using NYU’s excellent library resources in case a link fails to open.
5. Please read Michelle Alexander’s *The New Jim Crow* before the semester begins, if possible. Even with weaknesses, it is a crucial read for understanding many of the issues we will consider.

**Academic Integrity**

As a professional, you will need to use many resources that have been developed, authored and/or organized by others. Making great use of the work of others is a valuable professional tool – originality is not axiomatically a virtue. Honoring the hard work of those who prepared what you borrow and use is an issue of integrity. That lesson begins in your academic work. To refresh yourself on the rules of academic integrity, see [https://wagner.nyu.edu/current/policies/](https://wagner.nyu.edu/current/policies/)

---

**THERE IS MUCH WE WILL NOT KNOW ABOUT THIS SEMESTER OR CANNOT ANTICIPATE AS SO MUCH IN THE UNITED STATES – AND AROUND THE WORLD – SEEMS FRAGILE AND UNCLEAR. THERE MAY BE CHANGES IN SESSIONS IF EVENTS CALL FOR THAT. AS UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO ARE ENGAGED IN THE REAL WORLD, FLEXIBILITY IS A CRUCIAL ASPECT OF THE LEARNING. PLEASE NURTURE THAT CAPACITY FOR THE UNEXPECTED**
Seminar sessions:

1. Framing the Questions
   January 25, 2017
   The word minority is, in a sense, a new and fluid concept. It also is very controversial. There of course have always been different ethnic, religious and national groups that vied with each other for position and status and power in the places that they interacted and we have early evidence of cultures declaring their superiority over the other (even the Bible states that when entering the Land of Israel, “you shall not let a soul remain alive. No, you must excise them... lest they lead you into doing abhorrent things...”). Much the same has been said about Africans and Jews, Chinese and Japanese, and Italians and Irish at one time or another. There has been the “other” who is dangerous and sinister, sub-human and/or just different. Yet the classification of a group as a “minority” is a more modern phenomenon and one with which we still are grappling. Italian, Irish and Jewish immigrants to the U.S. were disdained by many in the early twentieth century -- they were not considered white. But by the 1960’s, all these identities were very “white”. There were covenants preventing Jews from buying homes in large swaths of the country in the 1950’s while today, the President’s daughter and family are Jewish, and he was voted in by conservative Christian evangelicals who once believed Jews are condemned to burn in Hell. Are women, who make up over half the U.S. population, a “minority” in need of legal protections? Is the LGBTQ community “privileged” as Justice Roberts has claimed in the past few years? Should White lower socio-economic class men and their families be seen as a threatened minority? The first session will allow us to work on framing the questions for the course by examining our own conceptions, those of key political leaders as well as social analysts and theorists.

Questions to Consider:
1. What markers seem to be salient in considering group identity and individual status and how do we imagine the ways that such a status is determined?
2. How real are these categories for you and your families?
3. How are these categories used in America and by whom?
4. What can we learn about framing issues and ideas that will help us better understand the issues of minority status?

Materials:
- Ronald Takaki A Different Mirror, N.Y: Little, Brown and Co., 2008, chapter 1
2. Becoming a Real American, Two competitive visions: The melting pot vs. a nation of immigrants

February 1, 2017

America has long held two competing visions of itself. The first is America as a haven for wretched refugees who, seeking freedom and opportunity, come to these shores to become real citizens, adopting the language, culture and values of the historic, founding dominant majority. The second view is of America as a rich mélange of cultures and languages, a nation that celebrates diversity with the realization that what binds its citizens is its pluralism. This session will examine the analyses and the data used over the past centuries to define and then redefine who and what is American with the background awareness that the battle over what constitutes a true American still rages fiercely.

Questions to consider:
1. What about nation building and citizenship is at the core of each of these two visions?
2. What are the costs of choosing one vision over the other?
3. What are some examples of public policies have been instituted as a result of each of these visions?

Materials:
- Barak Obama’s convention speech 2004 [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eWynt87PaJ0](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eWynt87PaJ0) (in class)
- Paul Ryan, “Renewing the American Idea” July 2014 [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lCB1qF543PM](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lCB1qF543PM)
- Donald Trump’s Inauguration Speech, January, 2017
- Emma Lazarus, *The New Colossus*
3. Determining Community and Personal Identity Rights: Should They Be Collective, Individual or Non-existent?

February 8, 2017

While no one argues that there are communities with shared unique and distinct identities in America, there is heated debate over what obligations, if any, the nation has to these communities. For those who see America as a pluralist experiment, society must provide equality and justice to the individual for whom ethnicity, religious, or national origin identity is a significant background condition. The reason: A pluralist America that fosters distinct ethnic, religious and national origin communities will be a healthier democracy. Then there are those who see America as a nation of “minority” communities with collective communal rights that flow to the individual. Collective rights means that one’s position in society is linked to one’s minority identity and that society offers rights and recognition based not only to the individual, but to those within the group as well. And then there are the cosmopolitan critics who argue that collective rights means privileging a particular definition and identity of a self-declared minority community over others, forcing individuals to choose a singular identity in a world saturated with multiple identities.

Questions to Consider:

1. What difference does it make if one is given rights as an individual or given rights as part of a collective group?
2. What so disturbs those who believe in justice and equality about providing minority rights in America?

Materials:
- The Daily Show with Jon Stewart, January 7, 2009 Medicine Cabinet (shown in class)

Case Study: Lani Guinier and the Case of Representation and Elections

4. Black, Brown, White, Yellow, Red: Effects of Public Policy: Categorizing Individuals and Determining Status in the United States

February 15, 2017

We will explore how race, ethnicity and national origin have been categorized in the United States and how such determinations affect status and identity. Our interest is both in the “facts” that underlie identities and
also the processes that produced these categorizations. What is the relationship between racial/ethnic (Afro-American, Hispanic, Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander and non-Hispanic White), gender (male/female/others), and religious (note: the average American changes religious affiliation multiple times during a lifetime) designations and the individual’s identity and choice of communal affiliation? What are the gains and losses of such designations?

We will research the range of official censuses used over the past 200 years and then focus on the most recent census as a case study of how public policy affects one’s identity.

Questions to Consider:
1. What’s the narrative? How were the various minority identities determined and toward what end?
2. What is gained by minority status? What are the costs? Winners/losers?
3. Would there be other ways of imagining categories and designations or would we be better following the thinking of Jeremy Waldron?

Materials:
- Carmen R. Lugo So You Are a Mestiza: exploring the consequences of ethnic and racial clumping in the U.S. Academy [http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01419870701568882]
- Sam Roberts, Who Are We Now, ch. 8
- Junot Diaz, Drown, pp. 121-140 (Edison, N.J.)

5. In America, Color Has Meaning: Criminal Justice or the New Jim Crow
February 22, 2017
The irony is real. Moving from the former first family of Barak Obama that was a rainbow of races, Indonesian, Kenyan, European national origins, Muslims, Christians and Jews, descendants of slaves and of Confederate President Jefferson Davis to Donald Trump (a family of foreign born immigrant, blended families, Christians and Jews) yet celebrated by the Ku Klux Klan has to be both confirming and yet jarring. It would have been easy in 2008 to claim that the dream of integration was fulfilled. Clearly, that is not the case. Critical Race Theory takes issue with the notion that equality is the result of legislation, court mandates or even an Afro-American president. After hundreds of years, the meaning and impact of race and racism in the United States, from slavery to Jim Crow segregation to the New Jim Crow, remains unresolved. While we will not resolve the issues, we will focus on a case study on how policies, supported by legislation, law enforcement, courts, prisons and governments have (and continue) to structure a criminal justice system in the United States that plays out in destructive ways.

In fact, no area of contention today is more ripe for reform than the criminal justice system. The United States incarcerates more people than any other democracy and a larger percentage of its population than any country in the world. This reality disproportionately affects men of color, from being stopped to arrest, to conviction, incarceration, and parole – and even the box on applications that asks if you ever were arrested or convicted of a crime. The policy implications are huge, from housing to education to employment, as we have seen. The system seems so imbalanced that Michelle Alexander calls the American criminal justice system as the new Jim Crow, a 21st century form of segregation.

Questions to Consider:
1. What policies have brought the U.S. to this point and what policies could help remedy what is now seen as a failure to address the public and private costs of our criminal justice system?
2. How did the War on Drugs and “Stop and Frisk” policies transform American prisons?

Materials:
- *Alexander, Michelle - The New Jim Crow, Intro and Ch4
- *Forman Jr, James - Racial Critiques of Mass Incarceration, Beyond the New Jim Crow
- *Sentencing Project: To Build a Better Criminal Justice System
- New York State Reforms Harsh Rockefeller Laws
Case Study: Ban the Box as an Advocacy Campaign

6.  Gender, Power, Politics and Color: More Ways To Tell the Story
March 1, 2017

The unmarked position in America remains white, heterosexual, male and Christian. No one would expect a judge of that background to recuse himself on issues of same-sex marriage, women’s rights or race-based affirmative action. Yet Supreme Court Justice Sotomayor was attacked in her confirmation hearings for noting that being “a wise Latina woman” may offer a valuable different perspective on judicial decisions. It is disingenuous to deny the role one’s background, heritage, and experience play in one’s perspective and decision-making. Those of the marked positions (such as race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, religion) who have traditionally been shut out from power or have not had access to resources, are the only groups asked to check their identity at the door. To deepen our understanding of minority status in America, we need to investigate the intersections of race, class, gender, ethnicity, and other markers of difference and their impact on rights and public policy outcomes at the local, state, and national levels.

Questions to Consider:
1.  What do we mean by intersectionality and how does this concept apply to our study of minorities?
2.  How does the marked position of female affect other identities?
3.  In what ways should one’s identity affect public policy issues, voting and other forms of civic engagement?

Materials:
- Mitsuye Yamada, Desert Run Poems and Stories, Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, NJ, 1992, pgs. 87-89 (on NYUClasses)
- Excerpt from The Color Purple (on NYUClasses)
- Leading At the Intersections, Nicole Mason (on NYUClasses)
- Catharine MacKinnon, Women’s Lives, Men’s Laws, excerpts
Case Study: Is “female” a discriminated class in America and what constitutes misogyny in the age of Trump?

7. Residential Patterns, Housing and Urban Planning Policies
March 8, 2017
Segregated residential patterns have been a way of life in America, sometimes by choice but, more often, enforced by societal constraints and legally sustained covenants. The chasm in the quality of schools, public services and employment opportunities are a reflection of that history of segregated housing, yet immigrant groups often self-segregated as a means of sustaining their own cultures and communities. Politically, minority enclaves offered greater power or leverage with those in power. Yet, while integrated housing became the tool to break down racial and ethnic disparities, its successes are mixed. We will explore the story of segregated and integrated housing and their effect on personal and communal group identities and success in America.

- National Commission on Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity - Final Commission Report (Executive Summary),
  http://ezproxy.library.nyu.edu:9451/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=4&hid=13&sid=7a333d2c-1f47-463e-9f8e-a9e6908fb266%40sessionmgr11

Case Study: If discrimination in housing is against the law, why do we have segregated housing?

8. A Nativist America: Immigration and the Fight for a Dominant Culture
March 22, 2017
Immigration loomed large in the past election with cries of “Build the Wall.” As noted in the first session, the battle over what constitutes authentic American culture goes back to colonial times. Benjamin Franklin, who hailed from the City of Brotherly Love (Philadelphia), complained, “Why should Pennsylvania, founded by the English, become a Colony of Aliens, who will shortly be so numerous as to Germanize us instead of our Anglifying them?” We will seek to understand the cultural and political analyses of both those who are anti-immigrant and their relationship to nativist beliefs and those who see immigrants as valuable to America. We will pose questions about the types of rights claimed by immigrants to sustain their unique cultures, languages, communal institutions and identities and the backlash that claims immigrants are an alien force threatening the “real” America.

Questions to Consider:
1. What does the word American mean to you – to different populations in the United States – to those living in other countries?
2. Are the children of undocumented immigrants born in the U.S. real Americans?
3. Framing the question: What do we mean when we say immigrants and immigration?
4. How does public policy, including such agreements as NAFTA, impact the immigration debate?

Materials:
- Check out the websites for FAIR at http://www.fairus.org/site/PageServer and for the Center for New Community at http://www.newcomm.org/
- Sam Roberts, Who We Are Now, chapter 7

A case study: How would you write a new immigration law for the United States?

9. Faith-based politics and policy: Religion and minority status
March 29, 2017

Religion in America is so unlike that in other nations. Americans are believers (88% have certain or fairly certain belief in God) but not to their religious identity (44 percent of Americans have switched religious affiliations). Just under 50% percent of Americans taking on leadership positions do so in religious settings, yet a majority of Americans want religion out of politics. For our study, we also note that no religious denomination in the U.S. sees itself as a majority so that, in some profound ways, all those of faith take on a minority status and fight to protect their rights. Some still claim that their religious values should be the values of the nation while others strive with avid passion to protect their desire to do as they choose. We will explore the rights to be religious with a case study focus on the public policy issue of faith-based initiatives.

Questions to Consider:

1. How did the Founding Fathers imagine religion in America? What does the “freedom of religion” clause in the Bill of Rights mean?
2. Can religious groups be a minority in America and who would be the majority?
3. Can we reconcile Church-State separation and faith-based initiatives?

Materials:

- Supreme Court Ruling Salazar vs. Buono
- The Blaine Game: Over the Blaine Amendments and Public Funding of Religion [http://pewforum.org/events/?EventID=194](http://pewforum.org/events/?EventID=194)

Case Study: The battle over Hobby Lobby and the Religious Freedom Act

10. Color, Race, Ethnicity and Gender in the Schools and Workplace: Battles Over Reparative Affirmative Action and Merit in Determining Public Policies

April 5, 2017

In 1952, Brown vs. the Board of Education of Topeka overturned the principle of separate but equal while the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII) prohibited employment discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. These landmark decisions have been challenged in their implementation ever since with convincing evidence that discrimination in education and employment still is in effect in many ways. In fact, efforts to use merit as the sole basis of admissions, employment or salary increases have proven faulty, with the effects of bias evident in lingering disparities.

Questions to Consider:
1. How did the Court rulings and the civil rights legislation attempt to correct the lingering injustices of slavery and racial and ethnic discrimination? What was the society they were imagining as their goal?
2. What was the debate over affirmative action and what were its ideological and pragmatic pros and cons?
3. What evidence do we bring to bear in discussing the socio-economic disparities that exist today? What are the different framings of the issues?

Materials:
- *Derrick A. Bell, Brown vs. the Board of Education and the Interest Convergence Dilemma, Critical Race Theory*, pgs 20-29
- National Academy of Education *Race-Conscious Policies for Assigning Students to Schools: Social Science Research and the Supreme Court cases*
- More Evidence on Social-Psychological Processes that Perpetuate Minority Segregation: The ... ERIC: Education Resources Information Center,
Case Study: Is Affirmative Action a remedy to the legal and real-life history of discrimination in America?

April 12, 2017

Often the greatest advocates for the individual and group rights of those classified as minorities have been the courts that overturned laws or referenda that minorities claimed oppressed them. In other cases, courts have ruled unconstitutional legislation passed in support of minority rights. In studying the major court cases, we will grapple with all the issues we have studied so far and how complex and subtle decision-making concerning minorities and rights is in America today. Our fundamental question ends up being: Should America reinforce the unique collective identities of racial, religious, gender, sexual identity and ethnic groups when the law is presented as colorblind – or rather, committed to the principle that all men (sic) are created equal?

Guest Speaker: Julie Ehrlich
Julie Ehrlich is the Assistant Dean and Chief of Staff, NYU School of Law. She has been an associate at LKLS and Cuti Hecker Wang LLP, civil rights litigation boutique law firms in New York City. Julie began her legal career as a staff attorney and fellow at the ACLU Women’s Rights Project, where she litigated cases concerning the conditions of confinement for women and girls in prison. She graduated from Yale University and NYU’s Law School.

Questions to Consider:
1. How have the courts crafted principles that address minority status and where have the conflicts been?
2. What are the key court rulings that determine minority status and its implications in America today?
3. How do minority issues play themselves out within the judicial and penal process – think about incarceration rates, probation, juries and crimes and their punishments?

Materials:
• A Fluid Boundary: The Free Exercise Clause and the Legislative and Executive Branches
Case Study: In the second decade of the 21st century, are the legal remedies adequate to address the issues of identity America faces?

12. Organic and voluntary identity and culture: LGBTQ status, a case study
April 19, 2017

Is one’s identity given at birth, as in color or national origin, or is it voluntary, as in religion? Nowhere is this debate more public today than over the nature of sexual identity and whether there are rights and/or protections that should be provided. Is the discussion about LGBTQ identities one of civil rights, communal norms and values, choice and/or destiny – and what role should the values of the majority play in limiting the rights of a minority, whether an identity of choice or not, in American democracy? Marriage equality is achieved even as the 2016 Republican Platform condemned it. The rights of Trans individuals are debated with anger and pain. Is perfect assimilation the ultimate goal, two dads, two kids, and a dog sitting on the front porch of their suburban home? What happens to Queer culture, to those who reject binary sexual identities, to individuals moving from one identity to another? Can a society cope with so many demands?

Questions to Consider:
1. In terms of rights, does it matter whether sexual identity is based on biology, nurturing and culture, or personal choice?
2. Are white, high-end socio-economic class gays and lesbians a minority with rights to be protected?
3. Are there “others” not included in the new order such as transgender, bisexuals and those who avoid a culture that only offers binaries?
4. Should the goal of GLBTQ power be to make sexual identity no more significant than eye or hair color?

Materials
- Brian J. MacCann, Queering Expertise: Counterpublics Social Change, and the Corporeal Dilemmas of LGBTQ Equality (28 Jul 2011.)

Case Study: Bathrooms

13. So Where Are We and Where Do We Want to Go?
April 26, 201

This course is about identity, politics and public policy. We seek to understand the theory, practice and experience of identity that play out in the public arena, in law and social interaction. These issues are also personal. Who am I in this equation and what do I want from my identities? And how does all this play out in the lives of all of us who live at this moment in the United States? We look at ourselves, our families and circle of acquaintances, and the communities we inhabit, as both policy makers and participants in American society. No doubt, all these issues exploded before us this past year and remain painfully unresolved. How do we imagine moving forward?

Materials:
- Chris Rock- How Not To Get Your Ass Kicked By the Police, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uj0mtXEGE8

14. Class Student Presentations: Case Studies of Status, Rights and Public Policies and Minorities
May 3, 2017